

Probing Vacuum with Variational Methods in Quantum Gravity

Remo Garattini

*Mécanique et Gravitation, Université de Mons-Hainaut,
Faculté des Sciences, 15 Avenue Maistriaux,
B-7000 Mons, Belgium*

and

*Facoltà di Ingegneria, Università degli Studi di Bergamo,
Viale Marconi, 5 24044 Dalmine (Bergamo), Italy
E-mail: Garattini@mi.infn.it*

We use variational methods to calculate quasilocal energy quantum corrections. A comparison with the effective potential calculated at quadratic order is made by means of gaussian wave functionals. The method is a particular case of the effective action for composite operators used in quantum field theory. In pure gravity the method is applied for the first time. Implications on the foam-like scenario are discussed.

It is generally accepted that if Einstein gravity has a ground state, this is represented by Flat space, at least at classical level. From the quantum mechanical point of view, we know that at not zero temperature Flat space decays via a nucleation of a black hole into a more stable space: the Euclidean Schwarzschild space¹. Here we would like to discuss the possibility of having a transition from flat space, at zero temperature, via a neutral black hole pair creation⁴. We shall investigate such a possibility by means of a variational procedure applied to gaussian wave functionals. Although it is not necessary for the forthcoming discussions, let us consider the maximal analytic extension of the Schwarzschild metric, i.e., the Kruskal manifold whose spatial slices Σ represent Einstein-Rosen bridges with wormhole topology $S^2 \times R^1$. The complete manifold $\mathcal{M}$ can be taken as a model for an eternal black hole composed of two wedges $\mathcal{M}_+$ and $\mathcal{M}_-$ located in the right and left sectors of a Kruskal diagram². The hypersurface Σ is divided in two parts Σ_+ and Σ_- by a bifurcation two-surface S_0 . On Σ we can write the gravitational Hamiltonian

$$H_p = H - H_0 = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x (N\mathcal{H} + N^i\mathcal{H}_i) \\ + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{S_+} d^2x N \sqrt{\sigma} (k - k^0) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{S_-} d^2x N \sqrt{\sigma} (k - k^0), \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$. The Hamiltonian has both volume and boundary contribu-

tions. The volume part involves the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints

$$\mathcal{H} = (2\kappa) G_{ijkl} \frac{\pi^{ij} \pi^{kl}}{\sqrt{^3g}} - \sqrt{^3g} R / (2\kappa) = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_i = -2\pi^j_{i|j} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where G_{ijkl} is the supermetric and R denotes the scalar curvature of the surface Σ . The volume part of the Hamiltonian (1) is zero when the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints are imposed. The Wheeler-DeWitt equation (WDW) is nothing but the Hamiltonian constraint applied to a wave functional, i.e., $\mathcal{H}\tilde{\Psi} = 0$. Nevertheless we are interested in assigning a meaning to

$$\frac{\langle \Psi | H_S - H_F | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} + \frac{\langle \Psi | H_{quasilocal} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle}, \quad (3)$$

where Ψ is a wave functional whose structure will be determined later and where H_S (H_F) is the total Hamiltonian referred to the different spacetimes. Note that the first term of (3) is simply the extension of the quasilocal energy subtraction procedure generalized to the volume term. Note also that if the expectation value is calculated on the wave functional solution of the WDW equation, we obtain only the boundary contribution. Then to give meaning to (3), we adopt the semiclassical strategy of the WKB expansion. By observing that the kinetic part of the Super Hamiltonian is quadratic in the momenta, we expand the three-scalar curvature up to quadratic order. On the other hand, following the usual WKB expansion, we will consider $\tilde{\Psi} \simeq C \exp(iS)$. In this context, the approximated WKB wave functional will be substituted by a *trial wave functional* $\Psi[g_{ij}]$ according to the variational approach we would like to implement as regards this problem. To calculate the energy density, we need that

$$\frac{\langle \Psi | H_{|2} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} = \frac{\int [\mathcal{D}g_{ij}^\perp] \int d^3x \Psi^* \{g_{ij}^\perp\} \mathcal{H}_{|2} \Psi \{g_{kl}^\perp\}}{\int [\mathcal{D}g_{ij}^\perp] |\Psi \{g_{ij}^\perp\}|^2} \quad (4)$$

be stationary against arbitrary variations of $\Psi \{h_{ij}\}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{|2}$ is the Hamiltonian density restricted to the TT sector approximated up to quadratic order. Usually this procedure yields to a Schrödinger equation. Nevertheless to make calculations in practice, we give to the trial wave functional the form

$$\Psi[h_{ij}^\perp] = \mathcal{N} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4l_p^2} \langle (g - \bar{g}) K^{-1} (g - \bar{g}) \rangle_{x,y}^\perp \right\}. \quad (5)$$

$K^{-1}(x, y)$ is the inverse *propagator*, parametrized as

$$K^\perp(x, x)_{iakl} = \sum_N \frac{h_{ia}^\perp(\vec{x}) h_{kl}^\perp(\vec{y})}{2\lambda_N(p)} \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda_N(p)$ are infinite variational parameters and $h_{ia}^\perp(\vec{x})$ are the eigenfunctions of the differential operator

$$(\Delta_2)_j^a := -\Delta\delta_j^a + 2R_j^a. \quad (7)$$

Δ is the curved Laplacian and R_j^a is the mixed Ricci tensor. Thus $(\Delta_2)_j^a$ is nothing but the Lichnerowicz operator projected on Σ . Choice (5) is related to the form of the Hamiltonian approximated to quadratic order in the metric deformations. Indeed, up to this order we have a harmonic oscillator whose ground state has a Gaussian form. Thus the one-loop-like Hamiltonian form for TT deformations in both backgrounds, i.e. the Schwarzschild metric and its reference metric, is⁵

$$H^\perp = \frac{1}{4l_p^2} \int_\Sigma d^3x \sqrt{g} G^{ijkl} \left[K^{-1\perp}(x, x)_{ijkl} + (\Delta_2)_j^a K^\perp(x, x)_{iakl} \right]. \quad (8)$$

Now we are in position to have the (*semiclassical*) explicit form of (3). The classical term is given by

$$\frac{\langle \Psi | H_{quasilocal} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} = M - r \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{2MG}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \quad (9)$$

while the semiclassical part gives

$$\frac{\langle \Psi | H_S - H_F | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} \simeq -\frac{V}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{3MG}{r_0^3} \right)^2 \frac{1}{16} \ln \left(\frac{r_0^3 \Lambda^2}{3MG} \right) + a \frac{i}{MG}, \quad (10)$$

where a is a positive number and Λ is an UV cut-off. Apart the imaginary contribution the previous result is independent of boundary conditions since it is computed with respect to the volume term. Note that the subtraction procedure at one loop has the correct ingredients to be related to the Casimir energy. This seems to give some information about the vacuum behaviour. Indeed, if we look at symmetric boundary conditions with respect to the bifurcation surface S_0 , then eq.(3) can be interpreted as an energy gap measuring the possibility of creating a black hole pair by a topological fluctuation; it also indicates that flat spacetime is unstable with respect to pair creation. This seems to be an instability of the zero temperature flat space with the total energy conserved^{1,7,4}. Indeed the negative mode is a signal of the passage from a false vacuum to the true one⁶. Note that this calculation can be related to the quantity

$$\Gamma_{1-hole} = \frac{P_{1-hole}}{P_{flat}} \simeq \frac{P_{BlackHolePair}}{P_{flat}}. \quad (11)$$

To generalize a little more, suppose to enlarge this process from one pair to a large but fixed number of such pairs, say n . What we obtain is a multiply connected spacetime with n holes inside the manifold, each of them acting as a single bifurcation surface with the sole condition of having symmetry with respect to the bifurcation surface even at finite distance. Let us suppose the interaction between the holes can be neglected, i.e., let us suppose that the total energy contribution is realized with a coherent summation process. This is equivalent to saying that the wave functional support (here, the semiclassical WDW functional) has a finite size depending only on the number of the holes inside the spacetime. It is clear that the number of such holes cannot be arbitrary, but is to be related with a minimum size of Planck's order. Thus, assuming a coherency property of the wave functional and therefore of the N -holes spacetime, eq.(11) has to be generalized to

$$\Gamma_{N\text{-holes}} = \frac{P_{N\text{-holes}}}{P_{\text{flat}}} \simeq \frac{P_{\text{foam}}}{P_{\text{flat}}}. \quad (12)$$

Recall that a hole, here, has to be understood as a wormhole. Then, what eq.(12) suggests is the possibility of generating a *foamy* spacetime⁸, with wormholes as building blocks.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Prof. A. Perdicchi for his financial help and the organizers who gave me the opportunity of presenting this talk.

References

1. D.J. Gross, M.J. Perry and L.G. Yaffe, *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, (1982) 330.
2. V.P. Frolov and E.A. Martinez, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **13** :481-496,1996, gr-qc/9411001.
3. J.M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw and E. Tomboulis, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, (1974), 2428.
4. R. Garattini, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13**, 159 (1998), Report gr-qc/9801045.
5. R. Garattini, *Probing foamy spacetime with Variational Methods*, to appear in *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, Report gr-qc/9805096.
6. S. Coleman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **298** (1988) 178.
7. E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **195** (1982) 481.
8. J.A. Wheeler, *Ann. Phys.* **2** (1957) 604.